NET / GIST / MEN

2014/2015 FCDS Educational Webcast Series



October 16, 2014 Steven Peace, CTR



Anatomy and Physiology of the (Neuro)Endocrine System WHO Classification, Tumor Grade, Hereditary Syndromes Signs & Symptoms, Hormone Regulation and Tumor Markers CSv02.05 and SSFs, AJCC TNM 7thed, SS2000 Plus...NCCN Treatment Guidelines

Sponsorship

The Florida Cancer Data System sincerely thanks the Florida Department of Health, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Program of Cancer Registries, and the University of Miami Miller School of Medicine for their support.





Presentation Outline

- The (Neuro)Endocrine System Anatomy & Physiology
 - Hormone Regulation Form and Function
 - Hereditary Syndromes
- The GI Tract Relationship with NET and GIST Tumors
- WHO Classification for NET, GIST and Endocrine Tumors
- Mitotic Rate and Tumor Grade
- Signs and Symptoms
- Tumor Markers
- Staging NET and GIST Tumors
- NCCN Treatment Guidelines



What is the "Stroma" of an Organ?

- DEFINITION: "Stroma" is the supportive framework of an organ, gland or other structure that is usually composed of connective tissue. The stroma is distinct from the "parenchyma", which is the of the key functional tissue or elements of that organ that makes the organ, gland or structure do what is intended to do. It holds things together.
- Stroma/Connective Tissue Includes: soft tissue, muscle, bone, tendons, mesentery, fibrous tissue, fatty tissue, lymphatic tissue, subcutaneous tissue, blood vessels, lymph vessels, and even nervous system tissues that lie outside the brain and CNS (autonomic and peripheral nervous systems), and even the tissues of the pleural cavity, peritoneum and retroperitoneum including the omentum and mesentery.

Endocrine System Link to Nervous System

The endocrine system works alongside of the nervous system to form the control systems of the body. The nervous system provides a very fast and narrowly targeted system to turn on specific glands and muscles throughout the body. The endocrine system, on the other hand, is much slower acting, but has very widespread, long lasting, and powerful effects. Hormones are distributed by glands through the bloodstream to the entire body, affecting any cell with a receptor for a particular hormone. Most hormones affect cells in several organs or throughout the entire body, leading to many diverse and powerful responses.









The (Neuro)Endocrine System - A&P

- The (Neuro)Endocrine System includes the Endocrine System (glands and organs) **<u>PLUS</u>** the Diffuse Neuroendocrine System
- The Diffuse Neuroendocrine System or Diffuse NES is made up of scattered neuroendocrine cells distributed throughout the body - each location serving different function – no organ/gland
- Diffuse NES Examples:
 - Digestive NES cells regulate release of digestive enzymes
 - Digestive NES cells regulate intestinal movements
 - Respiratory NES cells regulate respiratory function
 - Adrenal Medulla and Paraganglia (organ) regulate blood pressure and heart rate produce both epinephrine and neuro-epinephrine
 - Neuroendocrine cells are also found in non-neuroendocrine glands
 - Neuroendocrine cells are also diffusely scattered in skin, thymus, prostate, and other glands and tissues
 - Pancreatic Islet Cells of the Pancreas Gland Islets of Langerhans produce insulin to regulate sugar levels in the body and bloodstream

Neuroendocrine Tumors - NET

- Neuroendocrine Tumors or NETs are often referred to by a different names – some of this is historical – some habit.
- Many NETs are benign tumors but some highly malignant

• Neuroendocrine Tumors known widely by another name:

- Merkel Cell Carcinoma
- Medullary Thyroid Carcinoma
- Carcinoid Tumor (low-grade NET)
- Pheochromocytoma/Paraganglioma
- PanNET Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumor
- Poorly Differentiated Large Cell Carcinoma (high-grade NET)
- Poorly Differentiated Small Cell Carcinoma (high-grade NET)
- ACTH-dependent or ACTH-independent Cushing Syndrome
- Mitotic Index, Ki-67 Index, Hormone Functionality Indicators

NETs that are NOT this Type of NET

Today's Webcast NETs are <u>NeuroENDOCRINE</u> Tumors

NeuroECTODERMAL Tumors are also called NETs

This type of NET includes rare pediatric brain tumors and Ewing Sarcoma that develop from undeveloped and/or non-differentiated embryonal neural tissue of the ectoderm or tiesues that become brain and CNS.

- CNS PNET primitive <u>neuroectodermal</u> tumor of CNS
- PPNET peripheral primitive <u>neuroectodermal</u> tumor Ewing 's
- DNET **dysembryoplastic** <u>neuroepithelial</u> tumor and not related to other NETs noted above.

Hormone Regulation – Form & Function

SERUM HORMONE EVALUATION POTENTIALLY INDICATED IN THE WORKUP OF NEUROENDOCRINE TUMORS¹

HORMONE-RELATED STUDIES (blood markers)

- Carcinoid tumors
- + 5-HIAA (24-hour urine)
- Chromogranin A (category 3)
- PanNET
- Chromogranin A (category 3)
 Gastrinoma
- Gastrin
- Insulinoma
- Proinsulin
- Insulin/glucose ratio
- C-peptide
- VIPoma • VIP
- Glucagonoma
- Glucagon
- Blood glucose
- ▶ CBC
- Other pancreas
- Somatostatin
- Pancreatic polypeptide
- Calcitonin
 BTH related pentide
- PTH-related peptide
- Alpha subunits
 ACTH
 Ectopic hormones
 ACTH
 GRH
 GHRH

Pituitary

Prolactin

LH/FSH

TSH

Pheochromocytoma/paraganglioma

• Growth hormone/IGF-1

Metanephrines (plasma and urine)²

13

Hormone Regulation – Form & Function

Source: www.nccn.org/neuroendocrine.pdf

Tumor	Origin	Hormone	
Carcinoid	Enterochromaffin Cells Digestive Tract and Lungs	Serotonin Tachykinins, Histamine Kallikrein	
Pancreatic Neuroendocrine	Islet Cells Pancreas	Gastrin, Insulin, Glucagon, VIP, Somatostatin, PTH	
Medullary Thyroid	Parafollicular (C- cells) Thyroid	Calcitonin	
Pheochromocytoma	Adrenal Medulla	Epinephrine, Norepinephrine	

Speel EJM et al. Am J Pathol. 1999;155:1787–1794 and Rindi G, et al. Ann NY Acad Sci. 2004;1014:1-12

What is a "Functional" Tumor?

"Functional" Neuroendocrine Tumors are NETs that produce an excess of hormones that can lead to a variety of hormone-related symptoms including facial or body flushing, diarrhea or bronchospasms. Over the long-term the chronic over/under expression of hormones can lead to other life-threatening conditions such as carcinoid heart disease – fibrous heart & valves, diabetes, weight gain, diarrhea, sudden growth of hands or feet, etc.

What is a "Functional" Tumor?

• Examples of "Functional" Hormone-Producing NETs

- Insulinoma tumor produces excess/insufficient insulin
- Glucagonoma interferes with production of glucose which then causes problems with high blood sugar and worsening diabetes
- Gastrinoma increased production of gastrin leading to ulcers
- Somatostatinoma disrupts multiple hormone balances leading to diabetes, gallstones, and inability to digest fats
- VIP-oma disrupts vasoactive intestinal peptide severe diarrhea
- GRF-oma produce excessive amounts of growth hormone release factors – leads to sudden growth of feet and hands
- ACTH-oma produce excessive amounts of the hormone ACTH. Too much ACTH increased production of steroids which lead to weight gain, depression, increased risk of infection, skin changes.

Hereditary Syndromes - NET

Rare Genetic Disorders at Increased Risk of NETs

- > MEN 1 Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia Type 1
- > MEN 2 Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia Type 2
- > Von Hippel-Lindau Syndrome
- ≻Tuberous Sclerosis
- > Neurofibromatosis Type 1 (von Recklinghausen Disease)

Hereditary Syndromes - GIST

Carney-Stratakis Syndrome

Carney-Stratakis syndrome is a recently described familial syndrome characterized by gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) and paragangliomas, often at multiple sites. It is a very rare syndrome reported in less than 20 unrelated families to date. It presents at a young age (median age: 19 years) with an apparently equal ratio of male and female patients. Patients with Carney-Stratakis syndrome have both GIST and paraganglioma. The gastric stromal sarcomas are multifocal and the paragangliomas are multicentric.

The GI Tract – NETs & GISTs

- NETs in the GI Tract develop in the neuroendocrine cells of the connective tissues in and around the GI Tract and may grow inward or outward. NETs in the GI Tract stimulate hormone-producing endocrine cells resulting in the overproduction of vasoactive peptide hormones [serotonin (5-HT), histamines and tachykinins) causing a constellation of symptoms - "carcinoid syndrome" – causing flushing, fatty diarrhea, bronchospasms, and "dumping" syndrome.
- GISTs in the GI Tract develop in the muscle layer of the walls of the GI Tract from the esophagus down to the rectum and grow outward. GIST do not cause symptoms in early stages. Symptoms can include nausea, vomiting, weight loss, pain, and bleeding. Early tumors are usually incidental findings. They make up only about 1% of all GI Tract neoplasms.



The GI Tract – GIST

- □ GIST originate in the interstitial cells of Cajal of the GI Tract.
- The cells create <u>neurotransmitter type impulses that</u> contract smooth muscle along the GI Tract to <u>regulate</u> <u>peristalsis</u> – pushing materials down the digestive tract.
- **GIST** do not effect hormone function, production or release.
- GIST can occur in the esophagus, stomach, small intestine, appendix, colon, rectum, and in parts of the peritoneum.
- When no primary is stated, the site is coded GI Tract, NOS.

WHO Classification - GIST

- Historical Names
 - GANT
 - Gastric Leiomyoma
 - GI Tract Leiomyosarcoma
 - GI Tract Leiomyoblastoma



 Current Definition - GI tract associated mesenchymal neoplasm with activating mutation in KIT (CD117) or platelet derived growth factor A (PDGFRA)





Risk Strattification of Primary GIST by Mitotic Index, Tumor Size, and Tumor Icon Mitotic Index, hpf Size, cm Site and Risk of Processive Disease (%) Mitotic Index, hpf Size, cm Gastric Duodenum Jejunum/Ileum Rectum S5 per 50 S2 None (0) None (0) None (0) None (0) None (0) S5 per 50 S2 Very low (1.9) Low (4.3) Low (8.3) Low (8.5) >5 5 er 50 S2 None Moderate (10) High (52) High (34) High (57) >5 per 50 S2 None None High (52) High (51) High (52) High (52) >5 per 50 S2 Moderate (16) High (73) High (50) High (52) >5 per 50 S2 None High (55) High (85) High (66) High (57)	WI	HO C	lassifi	cation	– GIS	Г
Risk Stratification of Primary GIST by Mitotic Index, Tumor Size, and Tumor Loo Mitotic Index, hpf Size, cm Site and Risk of Pressive Disease (%) Mitotic Index, hpf Size, cm Duodenum Jejunum/Ileum Rectum S5 per 50 S2 None (0) None (0) None (0) None (0) None (0) S5 per 50 S2 S10 Low (3.6) Low (4.3) Low (8.3) Low (8.5) Part 100 Moderate (10) High (52) High (34) High (57) Part 50 S2 None High (52) High (54) High (54) Part 50 S2 Moderate (16) High (73) High (50) High (52) Part 50 S2 Moderate (16) High (73) High (50) High (52) Part 510 High (55) High (85) High (56) High (56) High (57)						
Mitotic Index, hpf Size, cm Site and Risk of Progressive Disease (%) ≤5 per 50 Gastric Duodenum Jejunum/Ileum Rectum ≤5 per 50 ≤2 None (0) None (0) None (0) None (0) >2 ≤5 Very low (1.9) Low (4.3) Low (8.3) Low (8.5) >5 ≤10 Low (3.6) Moderate (24) (Insufficient data) (Insufficient data) >5 per 50 ≤2 None High (52) High (34) High (57) >5 per 50 ≤2 None High (73) High (50) High (52) >5 ≤10 High (55) High (85) (Insufficient data) Insufficient data) >10 Moderate (16) High (73) High (50) High (52) >5 ≤10 High (55) High (85) (Insufficient data) (Insufficient data) >10 High (86) High (90) High (86) High (7)	Stratification	n of Primar	v GIST by Mite	ntic Index Tum	or Size and Tu	imor Location
Mitotic Index, hptSize, cmSite and Risk of Progressive Disease (%) ≤ 5 per 50GastricDuodenumJejunum/IleumRectum ≤ 5 per 50 ≤ 2 None (0)None (0)None (0)None (0) $\geq 2 \leq 5$ Very low (1.9)Low (4.3)Low (8.3)Low (8.5) $\geq 5 \leq 10$ Low (3.6)Moderate (24)(Insufficient data)(Insufficient data) ≥ 5 per 50 ≤ 2 NoneHigh (52)High (34)High (57) ≥ 5 per 50 ≤ 2 NoneHigh $\circ 2$ High (50)High (52) $\geq 2 \leq 5$ Moderate (16)High (73)High (50)High (52) ≥ 10 High (55)High (85)(Insufficient data)(Insufficient data) ≥ 10 High (86)High (90)High (86)High (7)						
Sector Gastric Duodenum Jejunum/Ileum Rectum ≤ 5 per 50 ≤ 2 None (0) None (0) None (0) None (0) $\geq 2 \leq 5$ Very low (1.9) Low (4.3) Low (8.3) Low (8.5) $\geq 5 \leq 510$ Low (3.6) Moderate (24) (Insufficient data) (Insufficient data) ≥ 10 Moderate (10) High (52) High (34) High (57) ≥ 5 per 50 ≤ 2 None High (73) High (50) High (52) $\geq 5 \leq 10$ High (55) High (85) (Insufficient data) (Insufficient data) (Insufficient data) ≥ 10 High (55) High (85) High (86) High (7)	index, hpf	Size, cm		Site and Risk of Pr	ogressive Disease (%)	
$\leq 5 \text{ per 50}$ ≤ 2 None (0) None (0) None (0) None (0) $>2 \leq 5$ Very low (1.9) Low (4.3) Low (8.3) Low (8.5) $>5 \leq 10$ Low (3.6) Moderate (24) (Insufficient data) (Insufficient data) >10 Moderate (10) High (52) High (34) High (57) >5 per 50 ≤ 2 None High (73) High (50) High (52) >5 ≤ 10 High (55) High (85) (Insufficient data) (Insufficient data) (Insufficient data) >10 High (86) High (90) High (86) High (7)			Gastric	Duodenum	Jejunum/Ileum	Rectum
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	er 50	≤2	None (0)	None (0)	None (0)	None (0)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		>2 ≤5	Very low (1.9)	Low (4.3)	Low (8.3)	Low (8.5)
>10 Moderate (10) High (52) High (34) High (57) >5 per 50 ≤2 None High b (Insufficient data) High (54) >2 ≤5 Moderate (16) High (73) High (50) High (52) >5 ≤10 High (55) High (85) (Insufficient data) (Insufficient data) >10 High (86) High (90) High (86) High (7)		>5 ≤10	Low (3.6)	Moderate (24)	(Insufficient data)	(Insufficient data)
>5 per 50 ≤2 None High ^b (Insufficient data) High (54) >2 ≤5 Moderate (16) High (73) High (50) High (52) >5 ≤10 High (55) High (85) (Insufficient data) (Insufficient data) >10 High (86) High (90) High (86) High (7)		>10	Moderate (10)	High (52)	High (34)	High (57)
>2 ≤5 Moderate (16) High (73) High (50) High (52) >5 ≤10 High (55) High (85) (Insufficient data) (Insufficient data) >10 High (86) High (90) High (86) High (7)	per 50	≤2	None	High ^b	(Insufficient data)	High (54)
>5 ≤10 High (55) High (85) (Insufficient data) (Insufficient data) >10 High (86) High (90) High (86) High (7)		>2 ≤5	Moderate (16)	High (73)	High (50)	High (52)
>10 High (86) High (90) High (86) High (7)		>5 ≤10	High (55)	High (85)	(Insufficient data)	(Insufficient data)
		>10	High (86)	High (90)	High (86)	High (7)

WHO Classification - Endocrine

Endocrine Neoplasms are tumors of Endocrine Organs

- Pancreas
- Testis
- Ovaries
- Endocrine Neoplasms are tumors of Endocrine Glands
 - Pineal Gland
 - Pituitary Gland
 - Adrenal Gland
 - Thyroid Gland
 - Thymus Gland
 - Adrenal Glands
 - Parathyroid Glands
- Endocrine Neoplasms are not necessarily NETs

WHO Classification - NET

- **•** Tumors that arise in the Diffuse Neuroendocrine System
- REPEAT: The Diffuse Neuroendocrine System or Diffuse NES is made up of scattered neuroendocrine cells distributed throughout the body - each location serving different function - no organ/gland - and rare neoplasms.
 - Merkel Cell Carcinoma
 - Medullary Thyroid Carcinoma
 - Carcinoid Tumor (low-grade NET)
 - Pheochromocytoma/Paraganglioma
 - PanNET Pancreatic Neuroendocrine Tumor
 - Poorly Differentiated Large Cell Carcinoma (high-grade NET) not lung
 - Poorly Differentiated Small Cell Carcinoma (high-grade NET) not lung
 - ACTH-dependent or ACTH-independent Cushing Syndrome
- Mitotic Index, Ki-67 Index, Hormone Functionality Indicators

Mitotic Rate (Index) and Grade – NET

Mitotic rate

Mitotic rate should be based upon counting mitoses in at least 40 fields at 40x magnification in the areas of highest mitotic density, and should be reported as the number of mitoses per 10 HPF or per 2 mm². Ten HPF is equivalent to 2 mm² on many microscopes, although the field size may vary slightly.⁴

Note that in cases where an accurate mitotic rate is precluded by inadequate tissue, such as in small biopsy samples including FNA, the Ki-67 index is the preferred method of establishing the proliferative rate.

Ki-67 index

• Ki-67 index is reported as the percentage of positive tumor cells in the area of highest nuclear labeling. Although recommendations have been to count 2000 tumor cells in order to determine the Ki-67 index, this is not practical in routine clinical practice. It is therefore currently acceptable to estimate the labeling index, despite the recognition that estimation is subject to limitations in reproducibility.¹⁰ If both mitotic rate and Ki-67 index are used and these are discordant, it is currently recommended that the higher grade be used to assign classification.¹¹

Table 1			
Grade	Gastroenteropancreatic (GEP) NETs	Lung and Thymus	Differentiation
Low Grade (G1)	<2 mitoses/10 HPF AND/OR <3% Ki-67 index	<2 mitoses/10 HPF AND no necrosis	Well-differentiated NET
Intermediate Grade (G2)	2-20 mitoses/10 HPF AND/OR 3-20% Ki-67 index	2-10 mitoses/10 HPF AND/OR foci of necrosis	Well-differentiated NET
High Grade (G3)	>20 mitoses/10 HPF AND/OR >20% Ki-67 index	>10 mitoses/10 HPF	Poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinoma
Adapted from Bosman I Travis WD, Brambilla E	FT, Carneiro F, Hruban RH, Theise ND. World Health Organ Muller-Hermelink HK, Harris CC. World Health Organizatio	ization Classification of Tumours of the Digestive Sy n Classification of Tumours of the Lung, Pleura, Thy	stem. IARC, Lyon, 2010; and mus and Heart. Lyon: IARC; 2004.
Table 1 should be use occasionally a morph this measure alone. O differentiated NET as terminology in lung a	d as a general guide. Definitions vary between lung, th ologically "well-differentiated" NET may have a prolifer linical judgment should be used in such discordant car a "poorly differentiated NEC." In these cases, the tumo d thymus) with the specific mitotic rate and Ki-67 prol	ymus, and GEP-NETs in some classification syste ation index by Ki-67, which technically falls into ses. In general, this discordance should not caus r should be reported as well-differentiated NET feration index included in the report as additiona	ems. It is recognized that the "high-grade" category by e a reclassification of a well- (so-called "atypical carcinoid" I information (<u>See NE-A 3 of 4</u>).
		ara/nourcondocrino ndf	

Mitotic Rate (Index) and Grade – GIST

Grade	Mitotic rate	Number of mitoses per 50 high-power fields (HPF)
low	low	less than 5
high	high	more than 5

DOES MITOTIC RATE (INDEX) EFFECT STAGE?

- YES -

Mitotic Rate and TNM Stage – GIST Mitotic Rate TNM Stage тлм Explanation The tumour is no bigger than 5 cm in stag IA т1,т2 NO low diameter. The cancer has not spread to nearby lymph nodes or distant sites. The mitotic rate is low. e tumour is bigger than 5 cm, but not gger than 10 cm. e cancer has not spread to nearby nph nodes or distant sites. NO мо stage IB low mitotic rate stage II T1, T2 NO мо high o bigger than 5 cm in diameter. The cancer has not spread to nearby ymph nodes or distant sites. The tumour is bigger than 10 cm The cancer has not opened to near lymph nodes or distant sites. NO мо low arby h nodes or distant sites. nitotic rate is low. The tumour is bigger than 5 cm, but not bigger than 10 cm. The cancer has not spread to nearby lymph nodes or distant sites. The mitotic rate is high тз NO high stage IIIA The tumour (bigger than 10 cm) The cancer has not presed to nearby lymph nodes or distant sites. The mitotic rate is high. stage IIIB Т4 M0 high The tumour is any size. The cancer has spread to nearby lymph nodes but not to distant sites. The tumour has any mitotic rate. N1 stage IV any T мо any rate The tumour is any size. The cancer may or may not have spread to nearby lymph nodes. The cancer has spread to distant sites. The tumour has any mitotic rate. any 1 any N M1 any rate







	In	vivo
GH-producing pituitary tumour	7/10	70%
TSH-producing pituitary tumour	2/2	100%
Non-functioning pituitary tumour	12/16	75%
Gastrinoma	12/12	100%
Insulinoma	14/23	61%
Glucagonoma	3/3	100%
Unclassified APUDoma	16/18	89%
Paraganglioma	33/33	100%
Medullary thyroid carcinoma	20/28	71%
Neuroblastoma	8/9	89%
Pheochromocytoma	12/14	86%
Carcinoid	69/72	96%
Small cell lung cancer	34/34	100%



Source: www.med.harvard.edu









Staging NET	Neoplasms
-------------	-----------

170	Stated as T1a with no other information on extension	^	T1	L	L
180	Stated as T1b with no other information on extension	^	T1	L	L
190	Stated as T1 [NOS] with no other information on extension	^	T1	L	L
200	Muscularis propria invaded	T2	T2	L	L
210	Stated as T2 with no other information on extension	T2	T2	L	L
300	Localized, NOS Confined to colon, NOS	^	T1	L	L
400	Extension through wall, NOS Through muscularis propria or muscularis, NOS Non-peritonealized pericolic tissues invaded Perimuscular tissue invaded Subserosal tissue(stub)serosal fat invaded Transmural, NOS	T3	T3	L	L
410	Stated as T3 with no other information on extension	Т3	Т3	L	L

✓ Nodes are either Positive or Negative – N0 or N1
 ✓ Distant Metastasis are either Positive or Negative – M0 or M1









	Staging GIST N	eopl	asm	S	
165	Tumor invades through submucosa and muscularis mucosae to involve mucosa	^	NA	L	L
200	OBSOLETE DATA RETAINED AND REVIEWED V0203 See codes 155 and 165 Invades into but not through muscularis propria	^	NA	L	L
300	Implants inside stomach Localized, NOS	^	NA	L	L
340	Stated as T1 with no other information on extension	^	NA	L	L
350	OBSOLETE DATA RETAINED V0200 Linitis plastica and no other information regarding extension is available	ERROR	NA	RE	L
390	Stated as T2 with no other information on extension	^	NA	L	L
395	Stated as T3 with no other information on extension	^	NA	L	L
398	Stated as T4 with no other information on extension	^	NA	L	L
400	Invasion through muscularis propria or muscularis, NOS Extension through wall, NOS Perimuscular lissue invaded Subserceal lissue/subserceal fat invaded	^	NA		

✓ Distant Metastasis are either Positive or Negative – M0 or M1

13

Staging GIST Neoplasms

Table 1: Gastric GISTs: Proposed Guidelines for Assessing the Malignant Potential^{1,2}

Tumor Size	Mitotic Rate	Predicted Biologic Behavior		
≦2 cm	≤5 mitoses/50 HPFs	Benign, metastasis rate or tumor-related mortality: 0		
>2cm ≦10 cm	≤5 mitoses/50 HPFs	Very low malignant potential, metastasis rate or tumor-related mortality: <4%		
≤5 cm	>5 mitoses/50 HPFs	Low to moderate malignant potential.		
>10 cm	≤5 mitoses/50 HPFs	metastasis rate or tumor-related mortality: 12% to 15%		
>5 cm	>5 mitoses/50 HPFs	High malignant potential, metastasis rate or tumor-related mortality: 49% to 86%		
GISTs: Gastroi	ntestinal stromal tumors	s; HPFs: High-power fields		

✓ Nodes are either Positive or Negative – N0 or N1
 ✓ Distant Metastasis are either Positive or Negative – M0 or M1

Staging GIST Neoplasms

CS Site-Specific Factors for GIST Neoplasms

Note 3: Record mitotic count, to the nearest tenth of a mitosis, as documented in the pathology report. For example, a mitotic count of 6/50 HPF, or 6 per 5 square mm = 060.

KIT Gene Mutation(s) - also known as CD117

Note 1: This is a special molecular diagnostic test performed on tumor tissue to identify mutations in specific parts (called exons) of the KIT gene. Certain patterns of mutations correlate with the anatomic site and morphology of gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) tumors and can predict response to treatment with agents such as imatinib mesylate (Gleevec) and sunitinib malate (Sutent). Some mutations are associated with acquired resistance to imatinib treatment; do not record secondary or acquired mutations that may have been caused by long-term imatinib treatment.

PDGFRA Gene Mutation – also known as CD140A

Note 1: Some gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) have oncogenic mutations of the platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha (PDGFRA)gene, located on chromosome 4q. This test is a special molecular diagnostic test performed on tumor tissue to identify PDGFRA mutations. Some GISTs that are negative for KIT mutations are positive for PDGFRA mutation.



















Treatment = Surgical Resection and Imatinib (Gleevec)

Other Treatment Options - GIST

- Newer Targeted Agents Similar to Gleevec
- May be Used if Patient Cannot Tolerate Gleevec
- Approved for Use as 2nd and 3rd Line Drugs
 - Sunitinib (Sutent) after failing Gleevec
 - Regorafenib (Stivarga) after failing Sutent

Source: American Cancer Society

Other Treatment Options - GIST

Tumor Ablation and/or Tumor Embolization

- Radiofrequency ablation (RFA), which uses high-energy radio waves to heat the tumor and destroy cancer cells.
- Ethanol (alcohol) ablation, where concentrated alcohol is injected directly into the tumor to kill cancer cells.
- Microwave thermotherapy, where microwaves transmitted through a probe placed in the tumor are used to heat and destroy the cancer cells.
- **Cryosurgery (cryotherapy)**, which destroys a tumor by freezing it using a thin metal probe. This method sometimes requires general anesthesia (where you are deeply asleep and not able to feel pain).
- Trans-arterial (liver) embolization (TAE), which attempts to block or reduce the blood flow to cancer cells in the liver

Source: American Cancer Society

n	_	 - 6		
۲r	aci	ec	as	es

Name:	Ovary 05
Series:	Practical Application > Case Coding - CSv0205 > Ovary
Description:	A case scenario is a summary of the patient's cancer story as documented in the available medical record. The scenario is used by the cancer registrar to support codes selected for tumor, staging and treatment related data lens. While we believe we have recorded the best answer for all fields, we recognize that others night believe a different answer is a better choice. We will track the responses for all data items. For data items with less than 85% agreement with the preferred answer, the CTR panel will review the case scenario again. When necessary, the panel will also contat the appropriate standard Setter and request that existing documentation be calified to improve coding consistency. The case scenarios used in the CSv02.05 coding exercises were created from de-identified abstracts. For the purpose of coding this case, assume that the information in the scenario represents the complete information available at the time the case was abstracted. In addition to the abstracted text, the scenarios include the full text of de-identified and modified pathology reports.
Resources:	The coding form you are about to use has look-ups that contain descriptions for every data item. The collaborative stage data items display the codes and notes for CSv02.05.
	The cases have been coded using SEER guidelines; therefore, you may want to have the SEER Multiple Primary and Histology Coding Rules, SEER Program Coding and Staging Manual for 2014 and SEER Summary Staging Manual 2000 available.
	2014 SEER manual
	http://www.seer.cancer.gov/tools/codingmanuals/
	2007 Multiple Primary and Histology Coding Rules http://seer.cancer.gov/tools/mphrules/2007 mphrules manual 08242012.pdf
	2000 SEER Summary Staging Manual http://seer.cancer.gov/tooks/smr/SSM/2000-122012.pdf
	BTA is a standard abbroulation for prior to admission. This abbroulation is used throughout the scenarios

Practice Cases	
Open Case Click <u>here</u> to open the case scenario required for the test in a new window.	
Patient Demographics	
Sex 🗐 🖗 Race 1 🗌 🕼 Race 2 💭 🕼 Race 3 💭 Race 4 💭 Race 5 🗐 Hispanic 💭 💮 Birth State 💭 Birth Country 💭 Vital Status 💭 Lasc Contact Date 🧱 💮	
Diagnosis	
Diagnosis Date () Sequence Number () Primary Site () Laterality Histology () Behavior () Grade Diagnostic Confirmation () Merical Status at DX () Primary Payer at DX ()	
Staging	
C Size C Size/Size/Size/Size/Size/Size/Size/Size/	
Site Specific Factors & Summary Staging Note: will display after site and histology are entered	
SS 2000 🔲 💿	
Summary Treatment (First Course of Therapy)	
Surgery	
Reason No Surgery 🔤 💿 Surgery Date 🔤 💿 Surgery of Primary Site 🔲 💿 Scope Ree IN Surgery Other Ree Distant 🔲 💿	
Radiation	
Radiation 📃 💿 Radiation Date 📃 🛞 Radiation/Surgery Sequence 📃 🔘	
Systemic	
Systemic/Surgery Sequence 🔲 🛞 Rx Date-Systemic 🔝 🛞	

Practice Cases

Ovary 05 Scenario

Abstracted Text

For the purpose of coding this case, assume that the information in the scenario represents the complete information available at the time the case was abstracted.

Social History

Asian female born and rasied in Vietnam, moved to area ~ 2 years ago with husband. Insurance: Medicaid.

Physical Exam

04/12/2014 - cc: Rt ovarian mass with omental caking. HPI: Has had lower abd pain for last few months, –1 week ago developed severe lower pelvic pain resulting in visit to outside hospital ER. Had PTACT scan 04/05/2014 had showed omental mass in upper abd, 4.9 x 4.0 x adjacent to T-colon, also a large pelvic mass measuring 24 x 14 x 18 cm, enlarged node in Rt pelvis, and multiple small pulmorary notales c/w mess. Here for further eval. FE: Pelvis Mass palp in posterior cul-de-aac. Cervix difficult to visualize secondary to mass. UNE: Findings c/w ovarian carcinoma w/omenial and pelve sidewall mets and pulmonary motales c/w messive cardiac history, discussed with cardiologist about whether she could undergo surgery now or if neadylawant chem ovariab be best. Cannot start chem cuntil surger diagnosis, which will require bc. There ultimate gain a constraint of the start chem cuntil surger diagnosis, which will require bc. There ultimate gain a constraint of the start chem cuntil surger diagnosis, which will require bc. There ultimate gain to constraint of the start chem cuntil surger diagnosis, which will require bc. There ultimate gain to the start chem cuntil surger diagnosis, which will require bc. There ultimate gain the start chem cuntil surger diagnosis, which will require bc. There ultimate gain the start chem cuntil surger diagnosis, which will require bc. There is the start chem cuntil surger diagnosis, which will require bc. There is the start chem cuntil surger diagnosis, which will require bc. There is the start chem cuntil surger diagnosis, which will require bc. There is the start chem cuntil surger diagnosis, which will require bc. There is the start chem cuntil surger diagnosis, which will require bc. There is the start chem cuntil surger diagnosis, which will require bc. There is the start chem cuntil surger diagnosis, which will require bc. There is the start chem cuntil surger diagnosis, which will require bc. There is the start chem cuntil surger diagnosis, which will be start. Chem cuntil s vould be to take her to surgery.

Scans

05/21/2014 - CT Abd/Pelvis: Interval reduction in size and number of pulmonlary nodules. Interval reduction solid component of pelvic mass and size of omental nodules. Lt ovary normal, rt ovary not separate from mass. Cirrhotic liver w/nodular margins

Labs

04/08/2014 - CA-125: 1408 U/mL (0-35 U/mL normal)

Operative Reports

4/19/2014 - U/S Soft tissue guided core biopsy of omental mass: U/S reveals solid omental mass lesion, 5.2 x 3.0 x 4.3 cm in RUQ.

08/20/2014 - Expl lap, supracervical hysterectomy, BSO, appendectomy, lysis of adhesions, argon beam ablation, cystoscopy; Large rt pelvic mass, adherent to appendix. Numerous bowel adhesions, omental nodularity. Cytoreduction with no gross residual disease at completion of case. Cystoscopy revealed nml bladder mucosa, normal trigone.

Chemo Text

4/26/2014 - Started Carboplatin and Paclitaxel.

06/20/2014 - Started Gemcitabine with Carboplatin. Chemo changed due to severe nausea, diarrhea, altered liver function after 1st cycle chemo

Practice Cases

08/20/2014 - Path Report #2

Clinical Diagnosis/History:

History of advanced Mullerian adenocarcinoma, status post neoadjuvant chemo with excellent response (decreased disease on CT, exam, CA-125) - 183.0.

Final Diagnosis:

A -C, E and F) Right tube and ovary and appendix, resection; Uterus, left tube and ovaries, resection; Pelvic lymph node, excision and multiple biopsies as designated:

. Right ovary replaced by cystic mass with extensive necrosis and characteristics suggestive of treated neoplasm. No definitive falloplan tube identified in specimen. Appendix uninvolved

by neoplasm toy neopositit. 2. Alterocalcifications and foreign body type giant cells on uterine serosa and myometrium and in multiple biopsies (small bowel adhesions, implants and diaphragm) consistent with treated neoplasm; no viable neoplasm identified. 3. One pekic/mynh node with foamy macrophages consistent with treated neoplasm; no viable neoplasm identified. 4. Inactive endometrium, left fallopian tube with paratubal cysts, and inactive left ovary with no neoplasm identified.

- D) Small bowel nodule, biopsy: Fibromuscular tissue with mesothelial cyst and no definitive neoplasm identified,

G) Diaphragmatic adhesion, biopsy: Organizing hematoma.

H) Omentum, resection: Omental tissue with no neoplasm identified.

Gross Description:

Specime A: Received in a container of formalin labeled "right tube and ovary, and appendix" is a 601 g, 24.0 x 13.0 x 10.0 cm irregular multinodular mass with attached 9.0 x 0.5 cm tubular structure. The specimen is covered in a glistening tan-pink to focally bright red serosa. The largest mass is predominantly cystic and consists of a large amount of yellow liquefied material. A small sliver, measuring approximately 3.0 cm in diameter is identified and thought represent a fallopian tube. Representative sections are submitted as follows: A1 - tubular structure (putatve appendix) A2 - entire putative fallopian tube A3 - main nettire mass.

A3 - main cystic mass

A4-A7 - Additional cystic mass

Specimen B: Received fresh in a bag labeled "uterus, left tube and ovary" is a 50 g uterus with left tube and ovary attached. The uterus measures 6.0 cm SI x 5.0 cm laterally x 2.5 cm AP. The tube is 3.0 x 0.5 cm. The ovary is 3.0 x 1.5 x 1.0 cm and it has multiple semilucent cysts ranging in size from 0.5 cm to 1.0 cm. This is a supracervical hysterectomy specimen. The

Dura		in Contra						
Prac		ice Case	S					
		nee ease	-					
dial have been								
Click <u>here</u> to open	the ca	ase scenario required for the test in a new	wwind	ow.			_	
		Instructions 🗑						
Patient Demographics								
Sex Z W Race 1 10		Race 2 🔤 🥥						
Race 5 00 W Race 4 00	Code	(Ltri →) Description (Ltri →)					_	
birdi state [kk] @ birdi coundy [wike	(Ov	ary					~	
Diagnosis	CSE	ctension (See notes at bottom)						
Diagnosis Date 04/06/2014 (i) Se	Cod	e Description	TNM 7 Map	TNM 6	SS7	7 SS20 p Maj	00	
Primary Site C569 (0)	000	In situ, intraepithelial, noninvasive, preinvasive	TX T4-	TX T4-	IS	IS		
Grade 9 🔘 Diag	100	no tumor inmited to one ovary, capsule infact, no tumor on ovarian surface, no malignant cells in ascites or	1 la	на		۲ I		
Marital Status at DX 2		peritoneal washings					TT-1	. Easter
	200	FIGO Stage IA Tumor limited to both ovaries, cansule(s) intact	11a T1b	11a T1b	1		пег	р геати
staging		no tumor on ovarian surface, no malignant cells in ascites or peritoneal washings		110				
CS Size 🤒 🔍 CS Extension 📃 🔘	250	FIGO Stage IB	T1b	T1b	L	L		
Reg LN Pos 🧾 🛞 Reg LN Exam 📃 🛇	<u>310</u>	Tumor limited to ovaries, unknown if capsule(s) ruptured or if one or both ovaries involved	T1NOS	T1NOS	L	L		
CS Mets - Bone 📃 💿 CS Mets - Brain 📃 💿	250	Localized, NOS	T4-	T4.		DE		
Cite Countille Fonters & Country of Chaning	360	Tumor on ovarian surface	T1c	T1c	D	RE		
Site Specific Factors & Summary Staging	410	Tumor limited to ovary(ies)	T1c	T1c	RE	RE		
Note, will uisplay alter site and histology are enter		will H malignant cells in ascites or peritoneal washings						
SSE 1 Carbohydrate Antigen 125 (CA-12	430	410 + 350	T1c	T1c	RE	RE		
SSE 2 SIGO Stage		Tumor limited to ovary(ies) WITH malignant cells in ascites or peritoneal						
SSE 2 Residual Tumor Status and Size A		washings plus capsule(s) ruptured						
SS 2000	440	(360) + any of (350 or 410) Tumor on ovarian surface plus capsul(es) ruptured or WITH malignant cells in ascites or pentoneal	110	110	D	RE		
Summary Treatment (First Course of Therap	450	Washings FIGO Stage IC	T1c	T1c	RF	RF	~	
,	1000							

	Durating Course	
	Practice Cases	
Correct	CORRECT (1.00/1.00)	
	Data Item : Laterality	
	Response : 1	
	Correct Answer : 1	
h		
Rationale	Rationale:	
	The 04/12/2014 physical exam note documented the patient had a right ovarian mass. The 05/21/2014 CT scan noted the left ovary was normal. The resection pathology report, hough negative for residual malignancy, showed the right ovary avas replaced by a cysic mass while he left ovary showed no definitive neoplasm. The clinical and pathological information indicates the carcinoma involved the right ovary only. Per the SEER Manual, owary is a paired site. Apply code t when the right site of a paired site is involved.	
	[2014 SEER Manual, Laterality.]	
Error	INCORRECT CRITICAL (0.00/3.00)	
	Data Item : Histology	
	Response : 8140	
	Correct Answer : 8010	
	Rationale:	
Rationale	The MP/H Rules (general rules) state to code the histology from a metastatic site when there is no pathology/cytology specimen from the primary site. The pathology report from the omentum mass biopsy was positive for carcinoma, NOS. The clinical diagnosis on the cytoreductive surgery pathology report was Mullerian adenocarcinoma. However, no residual malignancy was identified per the cytoreductive surgery pathology report. The patient only had histologic confirmation of carcinoma, NOS from a metastatic site (omentum). A pathologic diagnosis has priority over a clinical diagnosis. Code the histology as 8010 (carcinoma, NOS).	
	[2007 Multiple Primary and Histology Coding Rules]	
		71

